# Tentative Schedule IAFL 8 July 12-15, 2007

Thursday, July 12

Session 1: 3:00-4:15 PM

# Panel 1a: (Communications Building, Room 120)

Immigrant Voices in the Courts
Ann Wennerstrom, University of Washington

Form, Function and Subjectivity in Washington State Dissolution Forms
Gail Stygall, University of Washington

"Now" as Discourse Marker in Courtroom Interrogation

John J. Staczek, Thunderbird School of Global Management

# Keynote Address #1: 4:30-5:30 (Communications Building, Room 120)

# Janet Ainsworth, Seattle Law School

Friday, July 13

Session 2: 9:00-10:15 AM

### **Featured Panel**

Panel 2a: Historical Forensic Linguistics (Communications Building, Room 226)

17<sup>th</sup> Century Poisoned Kisses in the Domestic Temple

Alison Johnson, University of Leeds

Jessica Malay, University of Huddersfield

Fair Trial: The History of an Idea

Ian Langford, Australian National University

Self-Face in Early Modern Witchcraft Trials and Contemporary Trials

Krisda Chaemsaithong, University of Houston

Pyrates, Politicians and Preachers: Historical Authorship Investigations

Poster presentation by David Wools, CFL Software Development

#### Panel 2a: Room

Judicial Systems in Contact: Access to Justice and the Right to Interpreting/Translating Services among the Kichewa of Ecuador

Susan Berk-Seligson, Vanderbilt University

The 2004 Washington DC Language Access Act
Carlos Torres, Georgetown University

Language and the Law in the European Union (EU): Efforts to Establish Uniform Standards for Interpreter Services in Criminal Matters

Nancy Schweda Nicholson, University of Delaware

#### Panel 2c: Room

Copyright Issues in Bilingual Legal Dictionaries – a case study Luciana Carvalho, Universidade de São Paulo

Advertisements For Financial Products:How Legal Constraints Affect Advertising Claims
Laura Cruz García, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria
Heather Adams, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Primary Research on Building a Forensic Corpus
Liu Wei, Guangdong Kingsway Law Office, China

Session 3: 10:30-11:45 AM

#### Panel 3a: Room

Court Interpreting in China
Guo Jingying, Zhejiang Police Academy

The Judge's Role in Courtroom Interpretation -- A Case Study from China Zhang Huiying, Executive Director of Shenzhen Lawin Consulting Co., Ltd.

Strategies for Reducing Courtroom Interpreter's Errors: A Linguistic and Statistical Approach
Marianne Mason, Georgia Institute of Technology
Robert Mason, University of Georgia, Gwinnett

Panel 3b: Room

Study on the Ambiguity of Chinese Banking Law: An Analysis from the Perspective of Language He Yanning, Central University of Finance and Economics

An Analysis of Standardization in Chinese to English Translations of Patent Abstracts
Yvonne Tsai, University of Newcastle upon Tyne

# **Featured Panel**

#### Honoring Roger Shuy: 1:00-3:45 PM (Communications Building, Room 120)

Chair: Peter Tiersma, Loyola University, Los Angeles

Discourse Analysis of Instant Messages Used as Incriminating Evidence in 'Sexual Predator' Prosecutions

Ronald R. Butters, Duke University Tyler Kendall, Duke University Phillip Carter, Duke University

Additional Panelists:

Hannes Kniffka, Bonn University

Margaret van Naerssen, Imaculata University

Phillip Gaines, Montana State University

Larry Solan, Brooklyn Law School

Weiping Wu, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Robert Leonard, Hofstra University

Bethany Dumas, University of Tennessee, Knoxville

#### Session 4: 4:00-5:15 PM

#### Panel 4a Room

He Speaks Perfectly Good Engish, or Does He?: Due Process and English Language Proficiency Elena Tapia, Eastern Connecticut State University

Going from Language Proficiency Findings to Valid Linguistic Evidence Margaret van Naerssen, Imaculata University

#### Panel 4b: Room

The Force Dynamics of Contract Law

Carl S. Bjerre, University of Oregon School of Law

The Contractual Text

Peter Tiersma, Loyola Law School

Insuring Clarity: Ambuguity in an Exclusions Clause of a Homeowner's Policy Phillip Gaines, University of Montana

#### Panel 4c: Room

On Inform-consent Doctrine from the Inform Consent Form Pi-chan Hu, National Cheng-chi University

'Carrying on Silly' or 'Antics'?: Recontextualization and Dialectal Subtleties
Diana Eades, University of New England

Litigants in Person as Aliens in Court

Tetyana Tkachuk, Masaryk University

# Saturday, July 14

## **Featured Panel**

# 9:00-11:00 AM (Communications Building, Room 120)

The Linguistics of Deception

Chair: Carole E. Chaski, ALIAS Technology LLC Sgt. Wes Clark, CT State Police Isabel Picornell, Aston University and QUD Limited Charles A. Morgan, MD, Yale School of Medicine Eileen Firzpatrick, Ph.D, Montclair State Univ.

### Session 5: 9:00-10:15 AM

#### Panel 5a: Room

Impossible Convictions? 100% Sure and the Judgement of Guilt Chris Heffer, Cardiff University

Homies, Hearsay, and Expert Standards: Sociolinguistics of Gang Testimony
Mel Greenlee, California Appellate Project

"Listen to Me": Young People's Efforts to Get their Words Respected in Legal Forums

Randy Frances Kande, John Jay College of Criminal Justice

#### Panel 5b: Room

The Past, Present and Future of the China's Forensic Studies Song Beiping, Beijing College of Politics and Law

The Problems and Solving Measures of the Forensic Study in China Sun Guorui, Beijing Univ. of the Aeronautics and Astromautics

Study on Conversion of Language of Criminal Law between English and Chinese Liu Yanping, China University of Political Science and Law

# Session 6: 10:30-11:45 AM

## Panel 6a: Room

A Linguistic Analysis of Hearsay
Sanford Schane, University of California San Diego

'Why I Should Be Set Free?': Aspects of Language Use in the Trial of Naval Admirals in Nigeria Tunde Opeibi, University of Lagos

Addressing Jury Instructions in Opening and Closing Arguments
Ruth Lanouette, Lawrence University

#### Panel 6b: Room

Language Constructing Law? Interdisciplinary Reflections on the Law-Language Link Sieglinde E. Pommer, McGill University, Canada, and University of Vienna, Austria

What Legal Writers Should Know: A Syntactic Analysis of a Legal Brief Effie Papatzikou Cochran, John Jay College of Criminal Justice Alice H. Deakins Cochran, William Paterson University

Law is Flux: Postmodern Geography and Metaphor in Intellectual Property Law Yeung Sze Man Simone, The University of Hong Kong

#### Panel 6c: Communications Building, Room 120

Forensic Authorship for Small Texts
Graeme Hirst, University of Toronto
Ol'ga Feiguina, University of Montreal

C-value for Authorship Identification
Katerina T. Frantzi, University of the Aegean
Sophia Ananiadou, University of Manchester

The Relevance of Inter and Intra Authorial Variation in Authorship Attribution: Some Findings on Syntactic Identification Markers

Maria S. Spassova, Universitat Pompeu Fabra

#### Session 7: 1:00-2:15 PM

#### **Featured Panel**

Panel 7a: Room

1:00-5:15 PM (Communications Building, Room 226)

An International Account of (Linguistic) Authorship Attribution (AA)

Chair: Hannes Kniffka, Bonn University
Frank Albrecht, Bonn University
Silvia Dahmen, University of Cologne
Carole E. Chaski, ALIAS Technology LLC.
Tim Grant, University of Leicester
Blake S. Howald, Georgetown University
Krzysztof Kredens, University of Lodz
Gerald McMenamin, California State University Fresno

# Featured Panel: Language and the Law: Issues Related to Chinese

#### Panel 7b: Room

Bilingual Issues in the Judiciary System in Hong Kong Wu, Weiping: The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Question Sequence and Witness Statements in Chinese Sun, Li: Guangdong University of Foreign Studies

Chinese and American Courtroom Discourse: Comparative Study
Liao, Meizhen, China University of Political Sciences and Law & Central China
Normal University

The Use of Language Evidence in the Court System in China Wang, Jie, China Univesity of Political Sciences and Law

A Discursive Contrast of Court Judgements in Mainland China and Hong Kong Le, Cheng, City University of Hong Kong

#### Panel 7c: Room

Truth, Justice and Fact-Finding
Peter R.A. Gray, Federal Court of Australia

The Paradox of Honesty in Persuasion and Its Expressions in the Legal Argumentation Sol Azuelos-Atias, University of Haifa

The Crime as Variable, the Witnesses' Accounts as Variants: Exploring Different Witness' Talk About a Single Event

Frances Rock, Cardiff University

### Session 8: 2:30-3:45 PM

#### Panel 8a: Room

Defining Denial: The Language of Legislation Concerning the Holocaust Susan Blackwell, The University of Birmingham

According to the Proper" Meaning of Words: How the Spanish Courts Interpret and Apply the Law Víctor M. González Ruiz, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Analysis of Legal Speech Acts
Guo Jingying, Zhejiang Police Academy

#### Panel 8b: Room

A Comparison of the 'Pen-and-Paper' and 'Video-Assisted' Methods of Writing Witness Statements: Propositional Content and Police Recruits' Perceptions

Ellen Grote, Edith Cowan University

Margaret Mitchell, Sellenger Centre for Research in Law, Justice and Policing

Rhonda Oliver, Cowan University

#### Session 9: 4:00-5:15 PM

Panel 9a: Room

**Special Interest: Law Enforcement** 

Active Listening in Police Counter-Terrorism Negotiation Training

Terry Royce, Teachers College, Columbia University (Japan)

Violence Risk Assessment in Threatening Communication
Sharon S. Smith, Supervisory Special Agent (Retired) Federal Bureau of Investigation

The Threat as a Speech Act: Defining and Assessing Threatening Communications
James R. Fitzgerald, Federal Bureau of Investigation

#### Panel 9b: Room

Compelling Evidence but is it Reliable?

Gillian Grebler, Cultural and Linguistic Forensics, Santa Monica, California

Boundaries of Deception: The Role of Marked Sentence Structures in Deceptive Written Narratives

Isabel Picornell, Aston University and QED Limited

The Anatomy of a Suicide Text
Jess Shapero, The University of Birmingham

#### Panel 9c: Room

Language in the Witness Stand: Witness Testimonies Through a Forensic Linguistic Prism Luna Filipovic, University College London

Creole and English in a St. Lucia Court
Sandra Evans, The University of the West Indies, St. Augustine Campus

The "Plain Meaning Rule" and the Significance of Context
Sieglinde E. Pommer, McGill University, Canada and University of Vienna, Austria

# Keynote Address #2 8:00 PM Saturday, July 14 University of Washington Club

# Malcolm Coulthard, Aston University

# Sunday, July 15

#### Session 10: 9:00-10:15 AM

#### Panel 10a: Room

Police Interviews in England: Contaminated Evidence?
Kate Haworth, University of Nottingham

"I Wouldn't Try to Trick You...": The Use of 'Trick' Questions by UK Police Claire Jones, University of Essex

Lying Equivocally and Irrelevantly in a Police Interview
Mari Luz Vazquez, University of Coruna

#### Panel 10b: Communications Building, Room 120

A New Tool for Speaker Identification
Sandra Ferrari Disner, Linguistic Consultant, Los Angeles

Calculating TXTual distance in forensic authorship analysis
Tim Grant, University of Leicester

Determination of An Intraspeaker Variability by Using Pitch, Jitter, Shimmer and NHR

Cem Yuksel, Expert Forensic Speech and Audio Examinations National Police HQ, Turkey

#### Session 11: 10:30-11:45 AM

# Panel 11a: Room

Critical Discourse Analysis of Police Interviews with Rape Complainants: Some Preliminary Findings

Nicola MacLeod, Aston University

Guilty Pleas as Grist for Crime Investigation and Prevention: A Discourse Analytic Case Study of the BTK Killer

Blake Stephen Howald, Esq., Georgetown University

Translating Binomial Expressions in Common Law Agreements: A Corpus-Based Study Luciana Carvalho, Universidade de São Paulo

#### Panel 11b: Communications Building, Room 120

Reliable but Not Valid? The Predicament of Forensic Authorship Attribution Krzysztof Kredens, University of Lodz

Carole E. Chaski, ALIAS Technology LLC

Disguise in Incriminating Texts - Theoretical Possibilities Compared to Authentic Instances of Language Manipulation

Sabine Ehrhardt, Bundeskriminalamt KT54-Aurhor Identification

#### Panel 11c: Room

The Morphology of Courtroom Apologies

M. Catherine Gruber, University of Chicago

The Management of Lawyers' Identities in Closing Arguments

Laura Felton Rosulek, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

### Session 12: 1:00-2:15 PM

#### Panel 12a: Room

The Linguistic Component of Hate Crimes
William Eggington, Brigham Young University

Disclosure Process and Witness Influence
John Olsson, Forensic Linguistics Institute

Laughing Matters: Investigating Officer and Suspect Laughter in the Police Interview on a Conversation Analytic Level

Elisabeth Kate Carter, University of Essex

#### Panel 12b: Communications Building, Room 120

Stability of Certain Stylistic Features Over Time
Burns Cooper, University of Alaska Fairbanks

Automated Authorship Profiling

Shlomo Argamon, Illinios Institute of Technology Moshe Koppel, Bar-Ilan University James W. Pennebaker, University of Texas at Austin Jonathan Schler, Bar-Ilan University

#### Panel 12c: Room

Which way?: Difficult options for vulnerable witnesses in Australian Aboriginal land claim and native title cases.

Michael Walsh, University of Sydney

To Deny or Dispute: Discourse Strategic Differences Between Aboriginal and Non-Aboriginal Suspects

Lorna Fadden, Simon Fraser University

#### **Business Meeting: 2:30-3:45**

#### **Conference Closing**